

Efficiency of SEM/STEM Scintillation Electron Detectors with Edge Guided Signal

P. Schauer, R. Autrata

Institute of Scientific Instruments, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Královopolská 147, 612 64 Brno, Czech Republic

Some Image modes of SEM or STEM require that the electron impact active surface of the detector of signal electrons should be fitted into a very small space, mostly symmetrically around the primary electron beam. This demand is easily fulfilled for semiconductor or channel plate detectors. However, they possess a low detective quantum efficiency and/or a high decay time, so that they are often unusable. Therefore, scintillation detectors in non-classical arrangements are applied. Here the signal must be guided through an edge of a plate scintillator¹. The input end of the light guide must be narrow, to match to the edge of the scintillator, and the output end may be enlarged, according to the input window of the photomultiplier. The design of such a scintillator - light guide system with a high efficiency of the light transport is the key problem of the detector design.

Generally, the efficiency of these edge guided signal (EGS) scintillation detectors is very hard to estimate. Owing to the low symmetry of EGS detection systems, the efficiency can hardly be calculated analytically. For this reason, the Monte Carlo (MC) simulation method has been created. The first results obtained by this method are presented in this paper. The new MC model includes the same physical processes as the previous one² which is intended for a base guided signal (BGS) rotationally symmetric Everhart-Thornley detector. However, a quite new algorithm has been written for the interaction of a photon with a surface, so that now the detector system may include all surfaces (or their parts) which satisfy the following demands: (1) Surfaces are given by a rotationally symmetric body or by a plane, (2) the axis of a body of each non-plane surface must be parallel with any axis of the coordinate system and (3) the normal of each plane must be parallel with any plane of the coordinate system. This means that the new program enables the calculation of the efficiency of light transport for nearly any configuration of the scintillation detector. The source code of the program has been written in Fortran 77 and can be, therefore, run on computers of different classes.

An example of the MC simulation method, i.e. the results of modelling very simple EGS scintillation detector configurations, is shown in Tab. I. The YAG:Ce single crystal and PMMA were materials used for scintillators and light guides, respectively. Two shapes of scintillators with Al deposited electron impact surfaces, in combination with three shapes of light guides, were tested. The output edge of the scintillator (alternately polished and matted) was connected to the input end of the light guide by using optical cement. Two longitudinal sections (perpendicular to each other) and the front and back views of the configuration geometry are shown. The circular and the square profiles of scintillators were 20 mm in diameter and side length, respectively. All light guides were 60 mm long. To estimate the influence of the hole in the scintillator, simulation of each configuration with and without the hole was carried out. For comparison, efficiencies of light transport through classical BGS rotationally symmetric detectors with a disc, conical and hemispherical scintillator, respectively, are also shown in Tab. I. Results of mean, minimum and

Table I. Efficiency of light transport through EGS and BGS scintillation detectors.

front view	longitudinal sections of the detector		description	matted scintil. output	hole	EFFICIENCY OF LIGHT TRANSPORT		
		back view				mean	min.	max.
			Circular plate scintillator with strip light guide	yes	no	0.0052	1.2E-04	0.0316
			yes	yes	0.0065	8.3E-04	0.0318	
			no	no	0.0046	2.0E-04	0.0341	
			no	yes	0.0066	5.7E-04	0.0341	
			Circular plate scintillator with light guide widening to square profile	yes	no	0.0526	0.0187	0.1629
			yes	yes	0.0531	0.0156	0.1638	
			no	no	0.0698	0.0083	0.1810	
			no	yes	0.0696	0.0086	0.1814	
			Circular plate scintillator with light guide widening to circular profile	yes	no	0.0521	0.0204	0.1440
			yes	yes	0.0526	0.0144	0.1606	
			no	no	0.0688	0.0100	0.1740	
			no	yes	0.0685	0.0102	0.1745	
			Square plate scintillator with strip light guide	yes	no	6.6E-04	4.5E-05	0.0034
			yes	yes	0.0017	1.7E-04	0.0089	
			no	no	1.2E-04	9.7E-06	0.0017	
			no	yes	0.0014	1.1E-04	0.0070	
			Square plate scintillator with light guide widening to square profile	yes	no	0.0561	0.0119	0.1674
			yes	yes	0.0562	0.0101	0.1702	
			no	no	0.0649	0.0145	0.1796	
			no	yes	0.0657	0.0084	0.1850	
			Square plate scintillator with light guide widening to circular profile	yes	no	0.0556	0.0122	0.1604
			yes	yes	0.0564	0.0103	0.1681	
			no	no	0.0644	0.0140	0.2021	
			no	yes	0.0651	0.0081	0.2073	
			Disc scintil. with cylindr. light guide *	yes **	no	0.186	0.174	0.196
			yes	no	0.025	0.011	0.035	
			Conical scintil. with cylindr. light guide *	yes	no	0.138	0.091	0.155
			no	no	0.179	0.126	0.352	
			Hemisph. scintil. with cylindr. light guide *	yes	no	0.0507	0.0406	0.0838
			no	no	0.0680	0.0082	0.1305	

* BGS rotationally symmetric system

** no optical cement was used

maximum efficiencies over the electron impact surface of the scintillator are given for each configuration.

It can be seen, that EGS configurations are less efficient than classical BGS ones. All EGS configurations with widening light guides are approximately as efficient as the BGS rotationally symmetric detector involving a hemispherical scintillator, with the hole having a negligible effect. The matted scintillator output decreases the efficiency by about 15 and 25 %, and therefore it is disadvantageous. Of the examined set of EGS detectors, the most convenient is that one with the circular scintillator and light guide widening to a circular profile. It possesses nearly the highest mean efficiency and the highest homogeneity of efficiency over the electron impact surface of the scintillator. Furthermore, it is, as well as its flange, easy to fabricate. Detectors with strip light guides are inefficient.

References

1. B. Bauer and B. Egg: An Optimised Back Scattered Electron Detector for the Scanning Electron Microscope. *Pract. Met.* **21** (1984), 460-471.
2. P. Schauer and R. Atrata: Light Transport in Single-Crystal Scintillation Detectors in SEM. *Scanning* **14** (1992), 325-333.